

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,519	10/10/2001	Dhiren R. Thakker	421/32/2	7285	
25297 JENKINS, WI	25297 7590 10/16/2008 JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.			EXAMINER	
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER			PACKARD, BENJAMIN J		
3100 TOWER DURHAM, No			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) THAKKER ET AL. 09/974.519

Interview Summary						
merview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin Packard	1612				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Benjamin Packard</u> .	(3)Chris Perkins.					
(2) <u>Frederick Krass</u> .	(4)Arles Taylor.					
Date of Interview: <u>07 October 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, and 8.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Cereijido et al and Grunicke et al.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed allegedly unexpected results where C14-C20 are compared to C12 in the specification at page 36, table 2. Examiner provisionally agreed the results would appear unexpected given the difference between C12 and C14, pending factual confirmation post-interview. Whether results could be extended to C13 was discussed briefly. Attorney will consult inventor for further technical guidance on this issue. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONDER OF THIRTY DAYS PROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Frederick Krass/					

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612